1	N	THE	C UI	NITED) S	TAT	ES	DIS	TRI	CT	COU	RT	
						DTG		с ш	ο=	~ 3.5			
H()H	γ.	l'H K	NO	RTHER	'N	DIS.	I.K I	(;.I.	()H.	(:AI	. I FO	RN	I A

SEAN S MAY, MD,

No C 09-1537 VRW

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v

UNUMPROVIDENT CORPORATION, THE PAUL REVERE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY and UNUM GROUP, inclusive,

Defendants.

On April 8, 2009, plaintiff Sean May filed a complaint against Unumprovident Corporation, The Paul Revere Life Insurance Company and Unum Group ("defendants") alleging that defendants improperly denied and failed to reconsider plaintiff's disability insurance claims. Doc #1. The court dismissed the complaint because plaintiff's claims were not filed within the relevant statutes of limitations. Doc #30. On October 14, 2010, plaintiff filed a first amended complaint ("FAC") alleging: (1) breach of regulatory settlement agreement; (2) breach of California

settlement agreement; (3) breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing; (4) intentional infliction of emotional distress; and (5) negligent infliction of emotional distress. Doc #31.

Defendants move to dismiss the FAC. Doc #33. Before the court can address the merits of defendants' motion, however, the court must determine whether plaintiff has named the proper parties as defendants. Defendants argue that the FAC is deficient because plaintiff failed to name Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company ("Provident Life") as a defendant. Doc #34 at 5. Plaintiff counters that he "has pled substantial facts demonstrating the 1999 merger of Provident [Life] into the Unum Group" and that "it was and is plaintiff's intention to include Provident [Life] in this litigation." Doc #35 at 26.

Plaintiff attaches to the FAC his insurance policies, which were issued by "Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company." Doc #31 Exhs A & B. The regulatory settlement agreement upon which plaintiff bases his claims was entered into on November 18, 2004, after the alleged merger between Unum Group and Provident Life. Id Exh C. It appears that Provident Life entered the regulatory settlement agreement on its own behalf and was charged in the agreement with reassessing claims under policies it had issued. Doc #31 Exh C ¶2. The 2005 California settlement agreement incorporates the regulatory settlement agreement by reference and refers to "Unum Life Insurance Company of America," "The Paul Revere Life Insurance Company" and "Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company" as separate entities. Id Exh D.

It is uncertain whether plaintiff may have erred in failing to name Provident Life as a defendant to this action.

Accordingly, plaintiff is ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE in writing on or								
before February 4, 2011 why he should not be required to amend the								
FAC to name and serve Provident Life as a defendant. Defendants								
may respond on or before February 18, 2011. Alternatively,								
plaintiff may choose to serve and file, on or before February 4,								
2011, an amendment to the FAC to name Provident Life as a								
defendant. The court expresses no opinion at this time whether the								
amendment would relate back to plaintiff's initial complaint								
pursuant to FRCP $15(c)(1)(C)$. The hearing scheduled for January 6,								
2011 is hereby VACATED.								

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Mulah

VAUGHN R WALKER United States District Judge